

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
EASTERN DIVISION**

STEVEN F. COX and KELLY FREEMAN )  
Individually and on behalf of all similarly )  
situated persons, )

Plaintiffs, )

vs. )

CITY OF JACKSON, TENNESSEE, )  
JULIEN WISER, in his official capacity )  
as the Jackson, Tennessee Chief of Police )  
and DARYL HUBBARD in his official )  
capacity as City Court Clerk for Jackson, )  
Tennessee, )

Defendants. )

NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Rule 23 Class Action

---

**ORIGINAL CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

---

**I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This is an individual and class action for damages, declaratory and injunctive relief, both preliminary and permanent, to redress the violation of rights secured by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 1988 and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Declaratory injunctive relief is authorized pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and 2202. All of the actions complained of herein occurred in the City of Jackson, Madison County, Tennessee, within the jurisdiction and venue of this Court.

## II. PARTIES

2. Plaintiff, Steven F. Cox, was arrested by the Jackson Police Department and detained by the Defendants on March 1, 2018 and charged with Aggravated Assault and Kidnapping and is and was a resident of Jackson, Tennessee, at all times relevant to the matters at issue herein.

3. Plaintiff, Kelly Freeman, was arrested and detained by the Defendants on January 8, 2017 and charged with Driving Under the Influence and is and was a resident of Jackson, Tennessee, at all times relevant to the matters at issue herein.

4. Defendant, City of Jackson, Tennessee, is a governmental entity duly incorporated under the laws of the State of Tennessee. Defendant City of Jackson is responsible for the policies, practices, and customs of its police department, City Court Clerk's office, as well as the training, supervision and control of its officers, deputies, clerks and officials. Defendant City of Jackson is and was the employer of all members of the Jackson Police Department and City Court Clerk's Office, both named and unnamed herein. The agent for service of process of the Defendant City of Jackson is Jerry Gist, City Mayor, who may be served at 121 East Main Street, Suite 301, Jackson, Tennessee 38301.

5. Defendant Julian Wiser (herein "Defendant Wiser") is and was at all times relevant hereto the Chief of Police for the City of Jackson Tennessee and is being sued in his official capacity as the Chief of Police for the City of Jackson Tennessee. Defendant Wiser, along with Defendant City of Jackson, were responsible for the policies, practices, and customs of its police department, as well as the training, supervision and control of its employees,

officers, and officials. Defendant Wiser is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 2725 and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is subject to suit under said statutes.

6. Defendant Daryl Hubbard (herein “Defendant Hubbard”) is and was at all times relevant hereto the City Court Clerk for the City of Jackson and is being sued in his official capacity as the City Court Clerk for the City of Jackson. Defendant Hubbard, along with Defendant City of Jackson, were responsible for the policies, practices, and customs of its City Court, as well as the training and supervision of its employees, officers, and officials. Defendant Hubbard is a person within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 2725 and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and is subject to suit under said statutes.

### **III. DUTY**

7. At all times pertinent hereto, Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants in this matter owed them a duty to realize, prevent, and/or protect Plaintiffs from the harm that City of Jackson officials, employees, and/or agents presented to and inflicted upon them. Plaintiffs further allege that the Defendants owed them a duty to appropriately/adequately hire, supervise, and train City of Jackson officials, employees, and/or agents in order to prevent and protect them from the harm inflicted in this matter.

### **IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

8. Plaintiff, Steven Cox is a resident of Jackson, Tennessee. On March 11, 2018 Plaintiff Cox was arrested by police officers employed by the Defendant City of Jackson and charged with Aggravated Assault and Kidnapping in Jackson City Court under case numbers

2018 M 856 and 2018 M 857. After Plaintiff Cox was arrested, he remained incarcerated for one (1) day before he was released on bond pending his next court appearance.

9. Plaintiff, Kelly Freeman is a resident of Jackson, Tennessee. On January 8, 2017 Plaintiff Kelly was arrested by police officers employed by the Defendant City of Jackson and charged with Driving Under the Influence in Jackson City Court under case number 214350. After Plaintiff Freeman was arrested she remained incarcerated for one (1) day before she was released on bond pending his next court appearance.

10. At the time of Plaintiffs' arrests and for some unknown period of time prior to and after their arrests, the Defendant City engaged in a pattern and practice of failing to have arrest warrants and/or Affidavits of Complaint sworn to before a magistrate or a neutral and detached clerk upon a finding of probable cause that the accused had committed a crime as required by the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America. Pursuant to said pattern and practice, there was no finding of probable cause by a neutral and detached magistrate that Plaintiffs had committed a crime prior to their arrests and detention on the above Jackson City Court charges, thus rendering their pre-trial detention unlawful under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.

11. It is impossible to determine from the face of the arrest warrant/Affidavit of Complaint that the Defendant City of Jackson failed to have them sworn to before a magistrate or detached and neutral clerk and a finding of probable cause made as required by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments. Plaintiffs and the potential class members were not aware of the

constitutional deficiency until the District Attorney General for the 26<sup>th</sup> Judicial District of Tennessee issued a press release to the local media about the deficiency on January 18, 2018.

12. Plaintiffs aver that according to the above-referenced press release from the District Attorney General these deficiencies in the arrest warrant procedure have potentially been ongoing for several years and have affected a number of putative class members prosecuted in the Jackson City Court. The existence of the deficiency was not known to any of the potential class members until after the press release by the District Attorney General.

## V. CLASS ALLEGATIONS

13. The named Plaintiffs bring this as a Class Action pursuant to Rule(23)(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and pursuant to both Rule 23(b)(3) for monetary damages and Rule (23)(b)(2) for injunctive relief as defined by the following:

For some time prior to January 8, 2017 and after March 11, 2018, Plaintiffs and all similarly situated persons to whom Defendants have subjected (or intended to subject) the practice of arresting and detaining without obtaining a properly sworn and executed arrest warrant or Affidavit of Complaint supported by a proper finding of probable cause by a magistrate or detached and neutral or authorized clerk. Excluded from this Class are the named Defendants, their agents, affiliates, and employees, the Judge assigned to this matter, and his or her staff.

14. **Numerosity:** The requirements of Rule 23(a)(1) are satisfied in that there

are too many class members for joinder of them all to be practicable. Upon information and belief, Defendant City of Jackson caused numerous citizens to be arrested and detained without meeting the requirements of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments. Each of these citizens has been separately injured by Defendants' wrongful and unlawful policies and practices. Because each of these citizens has been injured by the imposition of aforementioned unlawful practices, each of these citizens is entitled to assert a cause of action against the Defendants. Upon information and belief, these citizens potentially exceed 1,000 individuals. This Class, as defined above, meets the numerosity requirement.

15. **Commonality:** The claims of the Class Members raise numerous common issues of fact and/or law, thereby satisfying the requirements of Rule 23(a)(2). These common legal and factual questions, which may be determined without the necessity of resolving individualized factual disputes concerning any Class Member, include, but are not limited to, the following questions:

**QUESTIONS OF FACT**

- (i) Whether Defendants have adopted a policy, practice, and/or custom of failing to meet the requirements of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments before arresting and/or detaining individuals prosecuted in the City Court of Jackson, Tennessee.

**QUESTION OF LAW**

- (ii) Whether the Defendants have violated the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments by arresting and detaining individuals charged with crimes in the Jackson City Court

without having an arrest warrant or Affidavit of Complaint sworn to before a magistrate or neutral and detached authorized clerk upon a finding of probable cause.

16. **Typicality:** The claims of the named Plaintiffs are typical of the unnamed Class Members because they have a common source and rest upon the same legal and remedial theories, thereby satisfying the requirements of Rule 23(a)(3). In this case the named Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the Class because Plaintiffs and Class Members were all injured and/or damaged by the same wrongful practices in which the Defendants engaged, namely the failure to have an arrest warrant or Affidavit of Complaint sworn to before a magistrate or neutral and detached, authorized clerk, upon a finding of probable cause, at the time of the arrest or immediately as possible thereafter.

17. **Adequacy of Representation:** The requirements of Rule 23(a)(4) are satisfied in that the named Plaintiffs have a sufficient stake in the litigation to vigorously prosecute their claims on behalf of the Class Members and the named Plaintiffs' interests are aligned with those of the proposed Class. There are no defenses of a unique nature that may be asserted against named Plaintiffs individually, as distinguished from the other members of the Class, and the relief sought is common to the Class. Named Plaintiffs do not have any interest that is in conflict with or is antagonistic to the interests of the members of the Class and have no conflict with any other member of the Class. Named Plaintiffs have retained competent counsel in the area of class litigation to represent them and the Class Members in this action.

18. **Declaratory and/or Injunctive Relief:** Additionally, all the requirements for Rule 23(b)(2) also are satisfied in that the Defendants' actions affected all Class Members in the same manner, making appropriate final declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole. For example, Plaintiffs seek with respect to the Class as a whole a declaration that the Defendants' practices violate the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments Amendment. Furthermore, injunctive relief is necessary to prevent other Class Members from becoming future victims of Defendants' unlawful practices.

19. **Predominance and Superiority:** All of the requirements for Rule 23(b)(3) are satisfied because the common factual and legal issues identified above are sufficiently cohesive to warrant adjudication by representation. In particular, the Plaintiffs and the Class Members have suffered a common cause of injury, namely being arrested and/or detained without obtaining a properly sworn and executed arrest warrant or Affidavit of Complaint supported by a proper finding of probable cause by a magistrate or detached and neutral, authorized clerk. The Class Members' legal claims arise exclusively under federal law and, therefore, do not involve the application of other states' laws which may have varying degrees of liability and proof. Class action treatment is also superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, because individual litigation of the claims of all Class Members is economically unfeasible and procedurally impracticable. The likelihood of individual Class Members prosecuting separate claims is remote and, even if every Class Member could afford individual litigation, the court system would be unduly burdened by

individual litigation in such cases. To Plaintiff counsel's knowledge, no other putative Class Member has filed an individual action or putative class action against Defendants for any type of claim, including the allegations set forth in this Class Action Complaint. Additionally, individual litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent or contradictory judgments while magnifying the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system, thus resulting in multiple trials of the same legal issue and creating the possibility of repetitious litigation. As a result, the desirability to concentrate litigation in this forum is significantly present. Plaintiffs know of no difficulty to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance of a class action. Relief concerning Plaintiffs' rights under the laws herein alleged and with respect to the Class would be proper.

20. **Dispositive Adjudication and/or Limited Fund:** Plaintiffs reserve the right to bring this Class action pursuant to Rule 23(b)(1)(B) because it does appear that adjudication of individual Class Members' claims involving the wrongful and unlawful practice would be, as a practical matter, dispositive of other Members not actual parties to this matter. Additionally, individual adjudications of these allegations will substantially impair or impede the ability of absent Class Members to protect their interest because the unrestricted assets of the Defendants will most likely be insufficient to satisfy all claims. Upon information and belief, there may not be any available insurance to cover the wrongful acts and practices alleged herein. As a result, Plaintiffs hereby reserve the right to request certification under the limited fund doctrine.

## VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

### CLAIMS PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 1983

21. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the factual statements contained in Paragraphs 1 through 20 of the Complaint.

22. Plaintiffs aver that the actions described herein of the employees and policy makers of Defendants, acting separately and/or conspiring together, under color of law, in either or both their individual and/or official capacities for the City of Jackson and the Jackson Police Department amounted to a deprivation of Plaintiffs and the class members constitutional and/or statutory rights protected under the United States Constitution, Statutes of the United States, resulting in the damages listed below.

23. At all times pertinent hereto, Plaintiffs aver that the Defendants owed them a duty to realize, prevent, and/or protect Plaintiffs from the harm that City of Jackson officials, employees, and/or agents presented to and inflicted upon Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs further aver that Defendants owed them a duty to adequately and appropriately hire, supervise, discipline and train City of Jackson officials, employees, and/or agents in order to prevent and protect Plaintiffs from the harm inflicted in this matter.

### FOURTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS

24. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the factual statements contained in Paragraphs 1 through 23 of the Complaint.

25. Plaintiffs aver that the policy makers, officers, employees, agents, and officials, named and unnamed herein, were all employees of the Defendant City of Jackson, a municipality and governmental entity duly incorporated under the laws of the State of Tennessee, and during all relevant time periods, and acting under the color of state law.

26. Plaintiffs aver that the City of Jackson, acting through its agents, representatives, officers and/or employees, including but not limited to Defendants Wisner and Hubbard, acting under color of law, violated the Plaintiffs' and class members' rights under the Fourth Amendment as made applicable to the Defendants by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution by failing to obtain a properly sworn arrest warrant and/or Affidavit of Complaint, with a finding of probable cause prior to arresting and/or detaining the Plaintiffs and the other class members. Plaintiffs aver that the Defendant City of Jackson, acting through its agents, representatives, officers and/or employees, regularly engaged in the ongoing practice, policy and custom of failing to obtain a properly sworn arrest warrant and/or Affidavit of Complaint prior to arresting and/or detaining individuals charged with crimes in the Jackson City Court rendering the Defendant City of Jackson liable to the Plaintiffs and class members for all damages caused by the deprivation of their constitutional rights.

27. Plaintiffs aver that the Defendant City of Jackson, by and through its officers, employees, agents, and officials, including but not limited to Defendants Wisner and Hubbard, in their official capacities, performed under color of law failed to adequately/appropriately train and/or supervise its officials, employees, and/or agents including those involved in arresting and charging the Plaintiffs and class members in the appropriate procedures to be utilized in obtaining arrest warrants and/or Affidavits of Complaint. As a result of this actual knowledge,

the Defendants could have taken steps to protect Plaintiffs from the harm inflicted herein which they failed to do.

28. Plaintiffs aver that the Defendants, by and through City of Jackson's officers, employees, agents, and officials, including but not limited to Defendants Wisner and Hubbard, in their official capacities, performed under color of law, because of its deliberate indifference as set out above, failed to adequately/appropriately supervise and train its agents, officers and employees and failed to take steps to protect Plaintiffs from the harm inflicted herein.

29. Plaintiffs aver that the Defendants, and/or its officers, agents, officials, and employees, because of its deliberate indifference as set out above, had in place a policy, custom or practice that caused the constitutional violations set out herein.

30. Plaintiffs aver that as a result of the deliberate indifference of the Defendants, by and through City of Jackson's officers, employees, agents, and officials, in their official capacities, performed under color of law, Plaintiffs' and the class members' constitutional right to be free from unlawful seizures under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution was violated which resulted in the damages set out herein.

31. As a direct and proximate cause of the foregoing deprivations of constitutional freedoms and statutory rights committed by Defendants and the City of Jackson's policy makers, officers, employees, and officials, Plaintiffs and the other class members were illegally detained by the Defendants and have suffered, physical and mental injuries, severe emotional distress, humiliation, inconvenience and embarrassment, other pecuniary and non-pecuniary losses, and have had to retain legal counsel to defend and prosecute their rights.

### VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the court:

a. Issue service of process and serve each Defendant as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

b. Issue an Order certifying that this action may be maintained as a class action appointing named Plaintiffs and their counsel to represent the Class, and directing that reasonable notice of this action be given by Defendants to all Class Members;

c. Grant any reasonable request to Amend Plaintiffs' Original Class Action Complaint to conform to the discovery and evidence obtained in this Class Action;

d. Declare Defendants' practices as complained of herein to be in violation of the United States Constitution.

e. Award compensation for named Plaintiffs and Class Members suffering for the unlawful detention;

g. Grant named Plaintiffs and Class Members any compensatory and/or punitive damages to which he or she is entitled to as a result of the actions complained of herein, including but not limited to damages for his or her severe embarrassment, humiliation and emotional and physical pain and suffering;

h. Grant Plaintiffs and the Class Members a trial by jury;

i. Grant Plaintiffs and the Class Members their costs incurred herein, including a reasonable attorney's fees under 42 U.S.C. §1988;

j. Issue a declaratory judgment declaring that Defendants' unconstitutional practice and policy as set forth above violates the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments;

k. Issue a prospective preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Defendants from future unlawful acts;

l. Grant Plaintiffs and class members such other further relief both general and specific as the court deems necessary and proper in this case.

Dated: February 11, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael L. Weinman

Michael L. Weinman, #015074  
**WEINMAN & ASSOCIATES**  
101 N. Highland  
P. O. Box 266  
Jackson, TN 38302  
Telephone (731) 423-5565  
Facsimile (731) 423-5372  
*mike@weinmanthomas.com*

/s/J. Russ Bryant

J. Russ Bryant (TN Bar No. 033830)  
Robert E. Morelli, III (TN Bar. No. 37004)  
**JACKSON, SHIELDS, YEISER & HOLT**  
262 German Oak Drive  
Memphis, TN 38018  
Telephone: (901) 754-8001  
Facsimile: (901) 754-8524  
*rbryant@jsyc.com*  
*rmorelli@jsyc.com*

/s/ J. Colin Morris

J. Colin Morris  
**LAW OFFICE OF J. COLIN MORRIS**  
204 W. Baltimore St.  
Jackson, TN 38301  
Telephone (731) 424-6616.  
Facsimile (731) 424-2416.  
*j.colinmorris@gmail.com*

/s/ C. Mark Donahoe

C. Mark Donahoe  
**THE DONAHOE FIRM, PLLC**  
212 E. Lafayette Street  
Jackson, TN 38301  
Telephone: (731) 736-4422  
Facsimile: (731) 736-4425  
*cmd@donahoe-firm.com*

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 11<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Court's ECF system. Service of this Complaint will be made on Defendants with summonses to be issued by the Clerk per the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

*s/J. Russ Bryant*  
J. Russ Bryant

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

DEFENDANTS

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Table with columns for Plaintiff (PTF) and Defendant (DEF) citizenship: Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Large table with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, TORTS, PERSONAL INJURY, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District (specify), 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Brief description of cause:

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.  
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.  
 United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.  
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.  
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.  
 Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.  
 Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.  
 Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.  
 Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.  
 Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.  
 Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.  
 Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.  
**PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.  
 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.  
 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

AO 440 (Rev. 06-12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Tennessee

STEVEN F. COX and KELLY FREEMAN, Individually
and on behalf of all similarly situated persons,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

CITY OF JACKSON, TENNESSEE, JULIEN WISER,
in his official capacity as the Jackson, Tennessee
Chief of Polic and DARYL HUBBARD in his official
capacity as City Court Clerk for Jackson, Tennessee,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) CITY OF JACKSON, TENNESSEE
c/o Mr. Jerry Gist, City Mayor
121 East Main Street, Suite 301
Jackson, Tennessee 38301

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,

whose name and address are: J. Russ Bryant Michael L. Weinman J. Colin Morris C. Mark Donahoe
Robert E. Morelli Weinman & Associates Law Office of The Donahoe Firm
Jackson Shields 101 N. Highland J. Colin Morris 212 E. Lafayette
Yeiser & Holt P.O. Box 266 204 W. Baltimore St. Jackson, TN 38301
262 German Oak Dr. Jackson, TN 38302 Jackson, TN 38301
Memphis, TN 38018

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06-12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

Other *(specify)*: \_\_\_\_\_

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Tennessee

STEVEN F. COX and KELLY FREEMAN, Individually
and on behalf of all similarly situated persons,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

CITY OF JACKSON, TENNESSEE, JULIEN WISER,
in his official capacity as the Jackson, Tennessee
Chief of Polic and DARYL HUBBARD in his official
capacity as City Court Clerk for Jackson, Tennessee,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) JULIEN WISER
Chief of Police
234 Institute Street
Jackson, TN 38301

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,

whose name and address are: J. Russ Bryant Michael L. Weinman J. Colin Morris C. Mark Donahoe
Robert E. Morelli Weinman & Associates Law Office of The Donahoe Firm
Jackson Shields 101 N. Highland J. Colin Morris 212 E. Lafayette
Yeiser & Holt P.O. Box 266 204 W. Baltimore St. Jackson, TN 38301
262 German Oak Dr. Jackson, TN 38302 Jackson, TN 38301
Memphis, TN 38018

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

Other *(specify)*: \_\_\_\_\_

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
Western District of Tennessee

STEVEN F. COX and KELLY FREEMAN, Individually
and on behalf of all similarly situated persons,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

CITY OF JACKSON, TENNESSEE, JULIEN WISER,
in his official capacity as the Jackson, Tennessee
Chief of Polic and DARYL HUBBARD in his official
capacity as City Court Clerk for Jackson, Tennessee,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) DARYL HUBBARD
City Court Clerk
101 East Lafayette
Jackson, TN 38301

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,

whose name and address are: J. Russ Bryant Michael L. Weinman J. Colin Morris C. Mark Donahoe
Robert E. Morelli Weinman & Associates Law Office of The Donahoe Firm
Jackson Shields 101 N. Highland J. Colin Morris 212 E. Lafayette
Yeiser & Holt P.O. Box 266 204 W. Baltimore St. Jackson, TN 38301
262 German Oak Dr. Jackson, TN 38302 Jackson, TN 38301
Memphis, TN 38018

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06-12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

Other *(specify)*: \_\_\_\_\_

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: